MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI May I know whether Government sanction is necessary when preferring complaints against Co-operative Inspectors and Forest Range Officers?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: That will depend upon the nature of the offence committed.

PRESIDENT: Has any such case arisen till now?

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: I have come across more than three or four cases of that description.

PRESIDENT: You may send the information to Government and they will look into the matter.

MR. PULIYOOR T. P. VELAYUDHAN PILLAI: Yes, Sir, I shall.

Forestry Course in the Travancore University.

114. *MR. MURUKKUMPUZHA V. KUNJUKRISHNAN (*Neyyattinkara* cum *Trivandrum*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) how many applications were received for admission to the Forestry Course in the Travancore University;

(*b*) how many were admitted;

(*c*) how many were selected from the Forest Department;

(*d*) what is the minimum qualification prescribed for that course; and

(*e*) what is the caste-war analysis of the number of applications received for admission and the number of admissions made?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN (*Pro-Vice-Chancellor*):

(*a*) 123

(*b*) 15

(*c*) 5

(*d*) The Intermediate Examination of a recognised University in India with Natural Science, Physics and Chemistry as optionals or any other examination recognised as equivalent thereto.

(*e*) A caste war statement of the applications received and of the admissions made is laid on the table.

MR. MURUKUMPUZHA V. KUNJUKRISHNAN: Sir, I find that out of the 15 candidates that were admitted only one is an Ezhava, though six had applied. May I know the reason for it?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: I think four were declared ineligible because they made more than three attempts to pass the Inter-mediate Examination. We had to restrict the number selected for interview as there were 123 applications. So, we adopted the rule that a person who passed the Intermediate Examination after the third attempt should be ineligible. According to this, three were found ineligible and in regard to one I am not at all sure that his application was not rejected on the ground of over-age. So, only two Ezhavas appeared before us.

MR. MURUKUMPUZHA V. KUNJUKRISHNAN: Among the five who were selected from the Forest Department, may I know whether there is any Ezhava?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: I claim, notice, Sir.

The selection was entirely in the hands of the Conservator of Forests.

Vide Appendix IV.—Page 418.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. P. SIVARAMA PILLAI: May I know whether any applications from non-Travancoreans were received?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: Yes. I believe so.

Inspection of Court Fee Inspectors in the District Court and the Second Judge's Court, Nagercoil.

115. *MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR (*Tovala* cum *Agastisvaram*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether in 1114, Court Fee Inspectors inspected the District Court and the Second Judge's Court in Nagercoil with a view to detect a leakage of Government revenue; and

(*b*) if the answer is in the affirmative, the amount of leakage in the year 1114 under

i. Institution fee,
ii. Process fee,
iii. Registration fee, and
iv. Petition fee?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: (*a*) Yes.

		Rs.	ch.	c.
(*b*)	(i) Institution fee	654	16	0
	(ii) Process fee	123	14	0
	(iii) Registration fee	6	21	0
	(iv) Petition fee	48	20	0

MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR: May I know the causes for the leakage of revenue?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: In very many cases, especially in matters relating to institution fees, questions of law are involved and where the head ministerial officer decides a matter in a particular way, the Court Fee Inspectors may come to a different and better decision and such is upheld by the Court. In respect of the other items I think it is due more or less to oversight.

MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR: May I know whether any steps were taken against any officer or clerk responsible for the leakages?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I claim notice, Sir.

Direct appointment of Magistrates.

116 *MR. P. S. MUHAMED (*Quilon* cum *Kottarakara*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) how many times direct appointment of Magistrates was made during the period of last five years;

(*b*) whether they will be pleased to furnish a list of communities to which the above appointments went;

(*c*) whether there were Muslim applicants for the recruitment of Magistrates when the appointments were made; and

(*d*) the reasons for ignoring the claims of the Muslim applicants?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: (*a*) Nine.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Appendix IV.

Vide **Answer to Question No. 114.**

Caste-war Statement of the candidates who applied for admission and who were admitted to the Diploma Course in Forestry.

Caste.		No. applied.	No. admitted.
HINDU			
1. Brahmin	...	14	2
2. Nair	...	39	3
3. Other Specified Hindu	...	8	2
4. Ezhava	...	6	1
5. Other Hindu		1	1
MUSLIM	...	4	1
CHRISTIAN			
1. Jacobite	...	20	3
2. Marthomite	...	7	...
3. Syriac Catholic	...	7	1
4. Latin Catholic	...	1	...
5. S. I. U. C.	...	11	1
6. Other Christians	...	5	...

Appendix V.

Vide **Answer to Question No. 116.**

Statement showing the communities from which direct appointments of Magistrates have been made for the last five years.

A.	*Hindu*	
	1. Brahmin	...
	2. Nair	1
	3. Kammala	...
	4 Nadar	...
	5. Ezhava	2
	6- Cheramar	...
	7. Other Hindu	3
B.	*Muslim*	...
C.	*Christian*	
	1. Jacobite	1
	2. Marthomite	...
	3. Syriac Catholic	2
	4. Latin Catholic	
	5. South India United Church	...
	6. Other Christians	...

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly